

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/676,882	Applicant(s) OSBORNE, RANDY B.	
	Examiner Paul W. Schlie	Art Unit 2186	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO).

 (3) William W. Schaal (applicant's attorney).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2006
Time: ~2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness Double Patenting in view of Patent 6,181,619

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

(as above)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed with assessments, and agreed to cancel claim 3 and file a terminal disclaimer to overcome proposed rejections.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)